Psychological Well-Being of Exchange Program Students

Dahlia Novarianing Asri*, Silvia Yula Wardani, Sri Lestari

Universitas PGRI Madiun, Indonesia

novarianing@unipma.ac.id*

Revised: 2025-04-02

Published:

2025-04-1

Keywords:

Psychological Well-Being, Exchange Program, Students

Copyright holder: © Author/s (2025)

This article is under:



How to cite

Asri, D. N., Wardani, S. Y., & Lestari, S. (2025). Psychological Well-Being of Exchange Program Students. Bulletin of Counseling and Psychotherapy, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.51214/00202406898000

Published by: Kuras Institute

E-ISSN: 2656-1050 **ABSTRACT**: The objectives of this study are (1) to describe the psychological well-being conditions of students who participated in the university student exchange program; (2) to determine the differences in psychological well-being of students who participate in exchange programs based on gender, and (3) to determine the differences in psychological well-being of students participating in exchange programs based on each dimension of psychological well-being. The study subjects comprised 39 students from 21 universities across 11 regions in Indonesia, excluding the Java Island region, who participated in an in-person student exchange program at Universitas PGRI Madiun, Indonesia. Participants were selected using a purposive sampling technique. Data collection was conducted using Psychological Well-Being scale, consisting of 18 items. The results of the reliability test using the Cronbach coefficient test obtained a value of 0.84. The data analysis technique used descriptive statistics. The Mann Whitney test formula was used to determine the difference in psychological well-being in terms of gender. The analysis of variance, was used to determine the differences in each dimension of psychological well-being. The results of the study showed that self-acceptance has the highest level of dimension in psychological well-being.

INTRODUCTION

Student exchange programs at universities provide students with the opportunity and freedom to gain knowledge and science at universities according to their competencies through programs developed by partners outside the campus. Through learning in student exchange programs, it provides challenges and opportunities for universities to design innovative and adaptive learning processes so that students can achieve learning outcomes that cover aspects of attitude, knowledge, and skills (general and specific) optimally, relevant for the present and the future. In Indonesia and other countries, the phenomenon of student exchange at the national and international levels is not a new thing (Nauly & Fransisca, 2020). In a student exchange program, participants must interact with diverse groups, engage in group learning, and integrate into their temporary community. This requires them to understand the local culture and adapt by embracing tolerance and multiculturalism (Haj-Yehia & Erez, 2018; Quintana, 2007; Normadaniyah et al., 2019). On the other hand, students have academic and socio-cultural challenges. These challenges include language barriers, cultural differences, homesickness (C. Cao et al., 2016), lack of social support, lack of self-efficacy, and excessive stress (Zhang & Goodson, 2011); acculturation stress; psychological adjustment; social belonging; depression; and anxiety (Brunsting et al., 2018).

The student exchange program is a development of academic culture that requires cooperation with university partners (Insani et al., 2021). In realizing the student exchange program,

fellow universities collaborate to improve student competency so that, they are competitive at the national and international levels. The Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia has established a policy on the Independent Learning Independent Campus Program (MBKM), One example is the Independent Student Exchange Program (PMM). The PMM program offers students the opportunity to study at a different campus for one semester, allowing them to expand their knowledge and broaden their perspectives. Participants are required to choose a university located on a different island from their home institution, providing them with a deeper understanding of Indonesia's cultural diversity.

A preliminary study, based on interviews with students who participated in both inbound and outbound exchange programs at Universitas PGRI Madiun, revealed that students need time to adjust to their new environment. This adaptation process involves adjusting to a new academic setting, understanding the local language, interacting with dormitory peers and the local community, adapting to unfamiliar food, and coping with different weather and climate. These adjustments also involve psychological aspects, such as anxiety, self-confidence, and culture shock, which can impact students' overall quality of life. The experience of adapting to life at a new university as part of the Student Exchange program can, therefore, affect students' psychological well-being.

Psychological well-being is a feeling of comfort with oneself and life, having positive relationships, and having the ability to manage and overcome life's problems and challenges (Gündüz, 2022; Luo, 2022). The most influential psychological well-being model was proposed by Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi (2000), who defined psychological well-being as the result of two dimensions, namely positive emotion and engagement in meaningful activities. Referring to this model, individuals who frequently experience positive emotions and are actively involved in meaningful activities tend to have high levels of psychological well-being. Several studies have revealed aspects of psychological well-being. Psychological well-being includes six characteristics, namely self-acceptance, autonomy, environmental mastery, personal progress, and pleasant connections (Ryff & Singer in Dhanabhakyam & Sarath, 2023; Diener et al., 2009; Linley & Joseph, 2004; Kilgo et al., 2018).

Self-acceptance describes the extent to which individuals accept their strengths and weaknesses and accept their past. Positive relations with others describe the social relationships of individuals with others who give and receive and are warm. Autonomy leads to the freedom of individuals to act according to their will and the ability to deal with stressors. Environmental mastery relates to the individual's belief in managing the surrounding environment and utilizing existing resources. Personal growth relates to the individual's perception of their own development. Meanwhile, purpose in life is related to how individuals interpret their lives now and in the present. According to Su et al. (2022), psychological well-being includes positive feelings, self-value, self-confidence, goals of life, engagement, and a sense of belonging. Seligman, (2011) conceptualized psychological well-being into 5 contributing factors called the PERMA model: positive emotions, engagement, relationships, meaning, and achievement. Based on studies that discuss psychological well-being, this study specifies students' psychological well-being into 6 aspects, namely (1) autonomy; (2) environmental mastery; (3) personal growth; (4) positive relations with others; (5) purpose in life; and (6) self-acceptance.

Psychological well-being is an important concept that individuals have regarding mental health, especially students who participate in student exchange programs. Being away from family and having to live in a new environment for a certain period of time requires very heavy adjustment. Several experts state that psychological well-being is associated with an individual's ability to operate properly in maximizing their abilities both in personal and social life (Malkoç & Mutlu, 2019; Tunç, 2020). Individuals who have high psychological well-being show high intrinsic motivation, have the ability to interact, and have life satisfaction, which includes quality of life, purpose in life, feeling

comfortable, and having meaning in life. High levels of psychological well-being in individuals indicate self-acceptance, high control, the ability to adapt well to student demands, and low levels of stress. Conversely, individuals who have low psychological well-being tend to avoid conflict and have feelings of anxiety and restlessness (Houltberg et al., 2018; Kaspoglu & Didin, 2022; Z. Luo et al., 2019; Kaya & Çenesiz, 2020; Bhat, 2021.

Psychological well-being is a person's subjective experience of their psychological state, including pleasure, satisfaction, and purpose in life. Psychological well-being includes mental health as indicated by positive relationships, self-acceptance and self-esteem, and responsibility for one's life. Although research on psychological well-being has been conducted for decades, along with the implementation of the Independent Learning Independent Campus Program by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology. Especially, the student exchange program, many students have participated in the program so that the psychological well-being of students who participate in the student exchange program needs attention and has not been widely researched.

Study Aim and Hypothesis

These study aims (1) to describe the psychological well-being conditions of students who participate in student exchange programs at Universitas PGRI Madiun, (2) to determine the differences in psychological well-being of students who participate in exchange programs in terms of gender, and (3) to determine the differences in psychological well-being of students participating in exchange programs based on each dimension of psychological well-being. The hypothesis are that (1) exchange program students have high levels of psychological well-being, (2) there is differences in psychological well-being of students who participate in exchange programs based on gender, and (3) there is difference in the psychological well-being of students who participate in exchange programs based on each dimension of psychological well-being.

METHODS

Design

This study uses a quantitative descriptive method to describe the psychological well-being conditions of students who participate in student exchange programs, to find out the differences in psychological well-being in terms of gender, and to find out the differences in psychological well-being in each dimension.

Participants

The study participants were 39 students who took part in the offline student exchange program at Universitas PGRI Madiun, comprising 32 females and 7 males. Due to the small population size, the study used a Saturation Sampling technique, meaning all members of the population were included as samples. Small populations often employ the Saturation Sampling technique due to its ability to yield more comprehensive and representative data (Arikunto, 2012). Students come from various universities across Indonesian regions, namely: (1) Lampung University; (2) State University of Medan; (3) Universitas PGRI Palembang; (4) Syiah Kuala University; (5) Tadulako University; (6) Lambung Mangkurat University; (7) Universitas Muhammadiyah Barru; (8) University of Mataram; (9) STKIP Andi Matappa; (10) Universitas Katolik St. Thomas Medan; (11) Muhammadiyah University of Pringsewu; (12) Ganesha University of Education; (13) University of Samudra; (14) Adzkia University; (15) Hamzanwadi University; (16) Malikussaleh University; (17) Sriwijaya University; (18) Universitas PGRI Sumatera Barat; (19) Univesitas Teknokrat Indonesia; (20) Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara; dan (21) Gorontalo States University.

Instruments

Data collection was carried out using the Ryff Psychological Well-Being scale, which consists of 18 items consisting of 6 aspects: autonomy, environmental mastery, personal growth, positive relations with others, purpose in life, and self-acceptance (Ryff, 1989). The psychological well-being scale consists of a seven-point Likert scale (1 = strongly agree; 2 = somewhat agree; 3 = a little agree; 4 = neither agree nor disagree; 5 = a little disagree; 6 = somewhat disagree; 7 = strongly disagree). This scale consists of positive and negative statements to measure the consistency of respondents' responses for each subscale. The results of the reliability test using the Cronbach coefficient test obtained a value of 0.84.

Data Analysis

The data analysis technique used to describe the psychological well-being condition of students who participated in the student exchange program used descriptive statistics. The difference test using the Mann Whitney test formula was used to determine the difference in psychological well-being in terms of gender due to the normal data distribution, and the analysis of variance was used to determine the difference in each dimension of psychological well-being. The research hypothesis was tested using IBM SPSS Statistics 25 for Windows.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Results

According to the records of 39 students, the participants in the student exchange program came from 11 islands across Indonesia. This includes 4 students from Lampung Province, 9 from North Sumatera, 6 from Aceh, 1 from Central Sulawesi, 7 from North Sulawesi, 1 from Bali, 2 from West Sumatera, and 1 from Gorontalo Province. This distribution aligns with government policy, which requires student exchange participants to come from outside the island where the host university is located.

Based on the results of data analysis on the psychological well-being of students who participated in the student exchange program, it was found that there are 23 students (58.97%) who have a high level of psychological well-being, 12 students (30.77%) who have a moderate level of psychological well-being, and only 4 (10.26%) exchange students who have a low level of psychological well-being. These results mean that most students who participate in the exchange program have a high level of psychological well-being. Furthermore, differences in levels of psychological well-being based on gender are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Differences in Psychological Well-Being Reviewed by Gender

	Psychological Well-Being		
Mann-Whitney U	90.500		
Wilcoxon W	651.500		
Z	332		
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.740		
Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed Sig.)]	.747 ^a		
a. Not corrected for ties			
b. Grouping Variable: Gender			

Based on Table 1 above, it shows that there is no difference in psychological well-being between male and female students. This is indicated by the significance value (2-tailed) > 0.05.

Next, Table 2 describes the differences in the psychological well-being of students who participate in exchange programs based on each dimension.

Table 2. Description of the Psychological Well-Being Dimensions of Students Participating in Exchange Programs

No	Dimensions	N	Mean	F	р
1	Autonomy	39	15.86	.019	.892
2	Environmental mastery	39	19.12	.083	.775
3	Personal growth	39	19.96	.414	.524
4	Positive relations with other	39	12.23	.11	.724
5	Purpose in life	39	13.11	.037	.162
6	Self-acceptance	39	20.66	.503	.483

Table 2. outlines the six dimensions of psychological well-being among exchange program students. The results show that self-acceptance has the highest level of psychological well-being, followed by personal growth, environmental mastery, autonomy, and purpose in life. The lowest level of psychological well-being is observed in the positive relations dimension.

Discussion

Based on demographic data, the results show that the student exchange program was attended by 39 students (32 female and 7 male) from 11 islands across Indonesia. These students came from both state and private universities outside Java. Students' strong motivation to participate in the exchange program was driven by their desire to study in a new environment, gain new experiences, develop personal skills, expand social networks, explore regional cultural diversity, and become more independent while living away from home. Cultural differences, both academic and social, required students to adapt throughout the acculturation process. They developed various adjustment strategies, such as adapting to language differences, forming connections with friends and study groups, integrating with dormitory peers, and adjusting to different food menus and tastes.

The level of psychological well-being of students who participated in the exchange program obtained data that the majority (58.97%) had a high level of psychological well-being. This shows that students who participate in the exchange program tend to have a sense of comfort even though they are in a new environment, far from their place of origin. Students with high psychological well-being are shown by high intrinsic motivation, able to interact with new environments, and able to accept challenges in their lives. This is evidenced by students' participation in student exchange programs with different academic and social cultures. This aligns with Ryff's (1989) view, which states that individuals with high psychological well-being have a positive attitude towards themselves and others, are capable of making their own decisions, are independent, can develop their potential, and have goals they strive to achieve. High psychological well-being positively impacts daily life, as it fosters a positive attitude, the ability to interact effectively with others, independence, and a strong sense of determination (Huppert, 2009). On the other hand, individuals who have low psychological well-being show feelings of unhappiness, in living their lives to the point of suicidal behavior (L. Cao, 2012; Utami, 2016).

Exchange students with high psychological well-being demonstrate strong adaptation skills. Throughout the one-semester program, they are able to connect with students from various regions and integrate well with peers from the host campus. They are able to face challenges such as language differences, which are sometimes difficult to understand because they are not used to listening and not understanding sentences in Javanese. Based on the interview results, students who encounter this issue tend to ask their friends or lecturers for clarification on the meaning of sentences they don't understand, especially when Javanese is used. Students who have high psychological well-being also feel that students from their hometowns are very helpful in their adjustment process to introduce food from their hometowns and introduce tourist attractions in

the area. This creates a sense of security and happiness in students who participate in the exchange program. This condition is in line with Bowman, 's statement (2010) that students who have high psychological well-being are shown by their ability to manage and direct themselves well and are able to adapt and be skilled in facing challenges.

On the other hand, data obtained showed that only four students (10.26%) had low psychological well-being. Several studies have shown that factors that influence low levels of psychological well-being are due to students' inability to manage time, low self-esteem, feeling unsafe and uncomfortable, and feelings of lack of support (Gonzalez et al., 2015; C. Cao et al., 2016; Utami, 2016).

In general, in terms of gender, the results showed that there was no difference in the level of psychological well-being between male and female students. This is in line with previous studies, which showed that there was no difference in the level of psychological well-being between male and female students (Astuti, 2024; Poudel et al., 2020; Habe et al., 2023; Matud et al., 2019). On the other hand, previous research shows that there are differences in psychological well-being in terms of gender. The result showed that women were lower in positive relationships with others (Ryff, 1995; Ahrens & Ryff, 2006; Karasawa et al., 2011). On the other hand, compared to men, women are lower in self-acceptance (Ahrens & Ryff, 2006; Karasawa et al., 2011). In general, differences in these dimensions depend on other factors, such as age, culture, and other roles (Ahrens & Ryff, 2006; Karasawa et al., 2011). However, differences in psychological well-being in terms of gender have not produced consistent results (Vitters, 2016).

Based on descriptive analysis to determine the determination of the level of psychological well-being in six dimensions (autonomy, environmental mastery, personal growth, positive relations with others, purpose in life, self-acceptance), the results show that the highest level of psychological well-being is in the self-acceptance dimension, followed by personal growth, environmental mastery, autonomy, and purpose in life, and the lowest level of psychological well-being is in the positive relations dimension. The high level of self-acceptance in the psychological well-being dimension of students who participated in the exchange program was demonstrated by the students' ability to recognize their strengths and weaknesses and their ability to face challenges. Individuals who are able to recognize their strengths and weaknesses and behave well will increase their high fighting spirit in facing all of life's challenges (Bali-Mahomed et al., 2022; Crosswell et al., 2022; Faustino et al., 2020; Seden & Gyamtso, 2022). The self-confidence they have can develop their psychological well-being so that they are able to adapt and communicate in all environments and challenges faced. Individuals who have self-confidence and develop psychological well-being will have an impact on intrinsic motivation, proactive attitudes, and optimism about the future so that they can achieve academic success (Allobaney et al., 2022; Casino-García et al., 2021; Gündüz, 2022; Mikus & Teoh, 2021). Therefore, self-confidence plays a key role in supporting the selfacceptance of students participating in the exchange program, helping them feel comfortable and function optimally, allowing them to fully benefit from the experience at the host campus.

Personal growth is a dimension of psychological well-being possessed by exchange program students at a high level. According to (Ryff, 1989), personal development is defined as an individual's ability to develop their potential to grow and develop. The results of the analysis show that both male and female students have high personal growth. Despite having different cultural backgrounds and different skills, each student is able to improve their learning experience, develop new insights, and help to grow and develop as a professional adult individual. This is in line with Büeker et al. (2018), who stated that high psychological well-being can increase motivation and improve academic achievement.

Students exchange program are able to demonstrate good adjustment to the campus environment they are going to, even though there are significant cultural differences compared to their home region. However, these students are able to manipulate and respond to the environment

they face with all the advantages and skills they possess. Páez-Gallego et al., (2020) stated that environmental mastery is very important, which involves the capacity to choose an environment according to personal needs because it can influence a person's decision-making. The results of the study showed that exchange program students were actively involved in participating in campus activities and felt something new that was fun. The existence of support from the target campus, such as getting comfortable learning, comfortable interaction, and friendly campus residents who care about students from outside the region. This is supported by research by Seden & Gyamtso, (2022), which states that the target university has various expertise in various fields of science, so it will help students to grow and develop and gain experience in improving competence in various fields of science on the campus.

Autonomy is one of the dimensions of psychological well-being of exchange program students whose level is lower than other dimensions. According to Ryff, (1989), autonomy refers to the ability to make decisions and independence in living life. Individuals who have a high level of autonomy are able to determine goals and interests that can improve psychological well-being. The results of this study indicate that the low autonomy dimension in exchange program students is likely due to the age factor of students who are mostly 19-20 years old. Although according to Hurlock, (1998), the age of 19-20 years is an age that is in the adult category, the results of this study indicate that exchange program students are still less independent in their independence of life. On the other hand, the dimension of positive relations with others also has a lower level in psychological wellbeing. Positive relations with others lead to emotional support, togetherness, and happiness. The results of the study showed that exchange program students have low positive relations with others. This is because the short duration of time, namely one semester, is not enough to form positive relationships with others, even though all functions involved in student exchange activities on campus, such as lecturers, administrative staff, and students, have provided support, care, friendliness, and attention. This is supported by Seden & Gyamtso, (2022), who stated that students who participated in the exchange program had not developed well because they needed enough time to develop, while the implementation time of the student exchange program lasted for one semester.

The results of the study show that students have a low level of purpose in life. A sense of purpose leads to feeling like one has a reason to live, which contributes to overall satisfaction and happiness. Despite receiving support from lecturers, gaining knowledge and experiences, and receiving guidance during the student exchange program, these factors have not been sufficient to increase the students' sense of purpose in life. This is in line with the research of Awang et al., (2014), which states that support, attention, and assistance from lecturers and the campus have not been able to strengthen the purpose of life of students who participate in the exchange program.

CONCLUSION

A high level of psychological well-being is possessed by 58.97% of students who participated in the student exchange program. These findings indicate that exchange program students have high intrinsic motivation, are able to interact with new environments, accept challenges in life, have a positive attitude towards themselves and others, are able to make their own decisions, are independent, and develop their potential so that they can achieve their desired goals. Based on gender, in general, compared to male students, female students have a higher level of psychological well-being. The high level of psychological well-being is especially in the self-acceptance dimension, followed by personal growth, environmental mastery, autonomy, and purpose in life, and the lowest level of psychological well-being is in the positive relations dimension.

REFERENCES

Ahrens, C. J. C., & Ryff, C. D. (2006). Multiple Roles and Well-being: Sociodemographic and

- Psychological Moderators. Sex Roles, 55(11), 801–815. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-006-9134-8
- Allobaney, N. F., Eshah, N. F., Abujaber, A. A., & Nashwan, A. J. J. (2022). Professional Self-Concept and Self-Confidence for Nurses Dealing with COVID-19 Patients. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, *12*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm12020134
- Arikunto, S. (2012). Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- Astuti, B. (2024). Psychological Well-being of the Elderly in Yogyakarta City: Descriptive Study. 6(3), 1–14.
- Awang, M. M., Kutty, F. M., & Ahmad, A. R. (2014). Perceived social support and well being: First-year student experience in university. *International Education Studies*, 7(13), 261–270. https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v7n13p261
- Bali-Mahomed, N. J., Ku-Johari, K. S., Mahmud, M. I., Amat, S., & Saadon, S. (2022). Psychological Well-Being of School Counsellors Model. *Başlık, volume-11*-(volume-11-issue-2-april-2022), 621–638. https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.11.2.621
- Bhat, B. A. (2021). Psychological Well-being of Senior Secondary School Students in Relation to Gender and Academic Achievement: An Empirical Study. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, 9(2), 96–101. https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v9i2.3704
- Bowman, N. A. (2010). The Development of Psychological Well-Being Among First-Year College Students. *Journal of College Student Development*, 51(2), 180–200. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.0.0118
- Brunsting, N. C., Zachry, C., & Takeuchi, R. (2018). Predictors of undergraduate international student psychosocial adjustment to US universities: A systematic review from 2009-2018. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 66, 22–33. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2018.06.002
- Cao, C., Zhu Dr. Chang, P., & Meng, Q. (2016). An exploratory study of inter-relationships of acculturative stressors among Chinese students from six European union (EU) countries. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 55, 8–19. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2016.08.003
- Cao, L. (2012). Examining 'active' procrastination from a self-regulated learning perspective. *Educational Psychology*, 32(4), 515–545. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2012.663722
- Casino-García, A. M., Llopis-Bueno, M. J., & Llinares-Insa, L. I. (2021). Emotional Intelligence Profiles and Self-Esteem/Self-Concept: An Analysis of Relationships in Gifted Students. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (Vol. 18, Issue 3). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18031006
- Crosswell, A. D., Sagui-Henson, S., Prather, A. A., Coccia, M., Irwin, M. R., & Epel, E. S. (2022). Psychological Resources and Biomarkers of Health in the Context of Chronic Parenting Stress. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 29(2), 175–187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12529-021-10007-z
- Diener, E., Lucas, R., Schimmack, U., & Helliwell, J. (2009). *Well-Being for Public Policy*. Oxford Positive Psychology.
- Dr. M. Dhanabhakyam, & Sarath M. (2023). Psychological Wellbeing: Asystematic Literature Review. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, February, 603–607. https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-8345
- Faustino, B., Vasco, A. B., Silva, A. N., & Marques, T. (2020). Relationships between emotional schemas, mindfulness, self-compassion and unconditional self-acceptance on the regulation of psychological needs. *Research in Psychotherapy: Psychopathology, Process and Outcome*, 23(2), 145–156. https://doi.org/10.4081/ripppo.2020.442
- Gonzalez, F., Gonzales, H., & Torres, T. (2015). Relationships between Academic Stress, Social Support, Optimism-Pessimism and Self-Esteem in College Students. *Electronic Journal of*

- Research in Educational Psychology, 13(35), 111–130. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.14204/ejrep.35.14053
- Gündüz, Y. (2022). The Correlation Between Teachers' Self-Efficacy Perceptions and Tolerance and Psychological Well-Being. *Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET)*, *9*(3), 2148–2225. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4710-8444
- Habe, K., Dobrota, S., & Reić Ercegovac, I. (2023). Functions of music, focused on the context of music listening, and psychological well-being in late adolescence regarding gender differences. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14(December), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1275818
- Haj-Yehia, K., & Erez, M. (2018). The impact of the ERASMUS program on cultural identity: A case study of an Arab Muslim female student from Israel. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 70, 32–38. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2018.08.001
- Houltberg, B. J., Wang, K. T., Qi, W., & Nelson, C. S. (2018). Self-Narrative Profiles of Elite Athletes and Comparisons on Psychological Well-Being. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 89(3), 354–360. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2018.1481919
- Huppert, F. A. (2009). Psychological Well-being: Evidence Regarding its Causes and Consequences[†]. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, 1(2), 137–164. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2009.01008.x
- Hurlock, E. B. (1998). Adolescence Development. (Fourth Edi). Mc Graw-Hill.
- Insani, N. N., Fitriani, S., & Iswandi, D. (2021). Persepsi Mahasiswa Tentang Program Merdeka Belajar Kampus Merdeka. *Mukadimah Jurnal Pendidikan, Sejaraj Dan Ilmu-Ilmu Sosial, 5*(2), 245–251. https://doi.org/10.59562/semnasdies.v1i1.434
- Karasawa, M., Curhan, K. B., Markus, H. R., Kitayama, S. S., Love, G. D., Radler, B. T., & Ryff, C. D. (2011). Cultural perspectives on aging and well-being: a comparison of Japan and the United States. *International Journal of Aging & Human Development*, 73(1), 73–98. https://doi.org/10.2190/AG.73.1.d
- Kaspoglu, K., & Didin, M. (2022). Life Skills as a Predictor of Psychological Well-Being of Pre-Service Pre-School Teachers in Turkey. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Research*, 6(1), 70–85. https://doi.org/10.33200/ijcer.544232
- Kaya, Z., & Çenesiz, G. Z. (2020). The Predictor Roles Of Life-Satisfaction, And Intrinsic- Extrinsic Motivation On The Psychological Well-Being Of Pre- Service Teachers. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET)*, 7(4), 1370–1387. http://iojet.org/index.php/IOJET/article/view/948
- Kilgo, C. A., Linley, J. L., & Bennett, L. (2018). Critically Examining the Relationship Between Peer Diversity Interactions and Psychological Well-Being. *Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice*, 56(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/19496591.2018.1490305
- Linley, P. A., & Joseph, S. (2004). Positive change following trauma and adversity: a review. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 17(1), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOTS.0000014671.27856.7e
- Luo, L. (2022). The practice of psychological well-being education model for poor university students from the perspective of positive psychology. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*(August), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.951668
- Luo, Z., Wu, S., Fang, X., & Brunsting, N. C. (2019). International students' perceived language competence, domestic student support, and psychological well-being at a U.S. University. *Journal of International Students*, *9*(4), 954–971. https://doi.org/10.32674/jis.v0i0.605
- Malkoç, A., & Mutlu, A. K. (2019). Mediating the effect of cognitive flexibility in the relationship between psychological well-being and self-confidence: A study on Turkish university students. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 8(6), 278–287. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v8n6p278
- Matud, M. P., López-Curbelo, M., & Fortes, D. (2019). Gender and psychological well-being.

- International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(19), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16193531
- Mikus, K., & Teoh, K. R.-H. (2021). Psychological Capital, future-oriented coping, and the well-being of secondary school teachers in Germany. *Educational Psychology*, *42*, 334–353. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:240595714
- Nauly, M., & Fransisca, V. (2020). Identitas budaya pada mahasiswa Batak Toba yang kuliah di Medan. *Jurnal Psikologi Ulayat*, 2(1), 364–380. https://doi.org/10.24854/jpu29
- Normadaniyah, Sanusi, & Shadiqien. (2019). Peran Komunikasi Lintas Budaya dalam Fungsi Sosial (Studi Kasus Alumni Mahasiswa Pertukaran Pelajar Uniska Banjarmasin Tahun 2019). *Doctoral Dissertation, Universitas Islam Kalimantan MAB*)., 1–10.
- Páez-Gallego, J., Gallardo-López, J. A., López-Noguero, F., & Rodrigo-Moriche, M. P. (2020). Analysis of the Relationship Between Psychological Well-Being and Decision Making in Adolescent Students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*(July). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01195
- Poudel, A., Gurung, B., & Khanal, G. P. (2020). Perceived social support and psychological wellbeing among Nepalese adolescents: the mediating role of self-esteem. *BMC Psychology*, 8(1), 43. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-020-00409-1
- Quintana, S. M. (2007). Racial and Ethnic Identity: Developmental Perspectives and Research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *54*(3), 259–270. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.54.3.259
- Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *57*(6), 1069–1081. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.6.1069
- Ryff, C. D. (1995). Psychological well-being in adult life. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 4(4), 99–104.
- Ryff, C. D., & Singer, B. H. (2008). Know thyself and become what you are: A eudaimonic approach to psychological well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *9*(1), 13–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-006-9019-0
- Seden, K., & Gyamtso, D. (2022). Psychological well-being of college students in The Royal University of Bhutan: a case study. *Journal of Educational Science*, 18(3), 65–80. https://doi.org/10.15625/2615-8957/22210306
- Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being. Free Press.
- Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. *American Psychologis*, 55(1), 5–14. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.5
- Su, C., Yang, L., Dong, L., & Zhang, W. (2022). The Psychological Well-Being of Older Chinese Immigrants in Canada amidst COVID-19: The Role of Loneliness, Social Support, and Acculturation. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(14). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19148612
- Tunç, A. Ç. (2020). Anxiety and Psychological Well-Being Levels of Faculty of Sports Sciences Students. *International Education Studies*, *13*(5), 117. https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v13n5p117
- Utami, W. (2016). Pengaruh kecenderungan neurotik dan self- efficacy terhadap psychological well being. 1(2), 202–226.
- Vitters, J. (2016). *Handbook of Eudaimonic Well-Being*. Springer International Publishing/Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42445-3
- Zhang, J., & Goodson, P. (2011). Predictors of international students' psychosocial adjustment to life in the United States: A systematic review. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 35(2), 139–162.